

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 * * *

6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
7 Plaintiff - Appellee,)
8 vs.) 2:09-cr-421-JCM-VCF
9 CARLOS ALBERTO CEDANO-PEREZ,)
10 Defendant - Appellant.)

ORDER

11
12 Presently before the court is defendant Carlos Alberto Cedano-Perez's pro se motion
13 requesting a release date. (Doc. # 122). The government filed a response (doc. # 124), and no reply
14 has been filed.

15 **I. Background**

16 Defendant pled guilty on December 13, 2011, to 8 U.S.C. § 1326 - Deported Alien Found
17 Unlawfully in the United States. (Doc. # 89). This court sentenced defendant to 46 months custody
18 on May 1, 2012. (Doc. # 113). Defendant has filed the instant motion seeking the court to determine
19 his exact release date from custody and compute his credit for good time conduct. (Doc. # 122).

20 **II. Relevant Law and Application**

21 When a defendant is sentenced to a term of imprisonment he is committed to the custody of
22 the Bureau of Prisons ("BOP") under 18 U.S.C. § 3621(a). 18 U.S.C. § 3585(b) provides that "[a]
23 defendant shall be given credit toward the service of a term of imprisonment for any time he has
24 spent in official detention prior to the date the sentences commences." "After a district court
25 sentences a federal offender, the Attorney General, through the BOP, has the responsibility for
26 administering the sentence." *U.S. v. Wilson*, 503 U.S. 329, 335 (1992). It is the Bureau of Prisons
27 who will determine the amount of time that defendant served before sentencing that will be applied
28 to his current term of incarceration.

1 18 U.S.C. § 3624(b)(1) allows a prisoner serving a term of imprisonment of greater than one
2 year to receive up to 54 days of additional credit for time served if "the prisoner has displayed
3 exemplary compliance with institutional disciplinary regulations." This is often referred to as good
4 time credit. Under this scheme, it is the BOP that determines how much, if any, credit that a prisoner
5 will receive for good behavior. *Pacheco-Camacho v. Hood*, 272 F.3d 1266, 1267 (9th Cir. 2001).
6 The court, at this stage, has no role in the determination of good time credit.

7 Accordingly,

8 IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant's motion requesting a
9 release date (doc. # 122) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED.

10 DATED October 4, 2012.

11
12
13 
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28